



A WEEKLY COMMENTARY

# ON TARGET



- NEWS HIGHLIGHTS
- BACKGROUND INFORMATION
- COMMONWEALTH AFFAIRS

*The price of Freedom is eternal vigilance –*

Print Post Publication Number 381667 00258

Vol.45, No.17

May 8th, 2009

**THOUGHT FOR THE WEEK:** Let's not forget that [Clifford Hugh] Douglas identified that the drive for exports is a form of war. Economic war between nations for competing markets! The automotive industry in the U.S. is engaged in this unnecessary battle just like Japan, *et al.* Tariff reductions are merely white flags, surrendering to internationally controlled markets.

– Terence Holmes, Melbourne, May 2009

**CHAOS, CONFUSION, CIVIL WAR II – FOR THE LOVE OF CHINA** by James Reed: British Intelligence has expressed its fear that China might be able to cripple British telecommunications, power, water and food supplies (*The Australian*, 30/3/09, p.12). It seems that Huawei, the Chinese telecom, has installed equipment in a communications network which the military will also use. Huawei has links to the Chinese military and its present director, Ren Zhengfei, is a former director of part of the Peoples' Liberation Army responsible for telecommunications research. The idea is that 'malicious elements' may already be in the Huawei components but the British government does not want to replace the parts with British parts because this will conflict with 'competition policy'! Networks may in the future be remotely disrupted. Cyber warfare attacks on Britain by Russia and China's intelligence services already occur. No doubt Britain wants to be destroyed.

China has already decided that the United States is on the scrap heap of history. China and Argentina will use the Chinese yuan instead of dollars in trade. China will bypass the U.S. dollar in deals with South Korea, Indonesia and Malaysia. China is already beginning intense lobbying to have the U.S. dollar dumped as the world's trading currency, to be replaced by a new standard. They ultimately hope for the yuan to become the currency standard to reflect China's perception that it is the world's No.1 economy.

'Debate' has already begun in the Australian papers giving us a taste of our likely future. Opposition Leader Malcolm Turnbull was right to call Big Kev '09 Rudd something of a 'roving ambassador' for China. Even for the Labor Party, Rudd takes sinophilia and China worship to a new level. The papers have recently mentioned the Labor Party's long love affair with China: R.Callick, "Labor's China Love-In" (*The Australian*, 30/3/09, p.7).

As I see it, since at least the Cultural Revolution, the Left have seen China as the great experiment in

**In this issue:** • *Thought For The Week* • *Chaos, Confusion, Civil War – For The Love Of China*  
• *Australia Is Defenceless : Why?* • *In Defence Of 'Prejudice' 'Bigotry' And 'Racism'*

"ON TARGET"

May 8th, 2009

Page 1 (65)

communism. China became a substitute for God for as a vastly populated nation, it had the demographic power to annihilate the white world. Leftism, full of racial guilt, seeks resolution through the racial oblivion of the white race. Hence the religious fanaticism by which the policy of Asianisation has been embraced by our White Mice elites.

If the roles were reversed, China would never surrender its racial and national identity merely because it was trading with people of a different race. And yet, for our elites, seeing our 'destiny' – whatever that means – as being 'in Asia', they began to demographically and racially change the Australian population through a massive racially biased immigration program which turned the White Australia policy to the Yellow Australia Policy. All for a few yuan more. They may even think that the elites of China 'love' them.

The days of Bob Hawke sobbing his heart out about Tianamen Square in 1989, with a long stream of mucous running out of his nose, have gone (see the full glory of this relived on page 7 of *The Australian*, 30/3/09, p.7). Today we find in print, questions like "Do you think the Prime Minister [Rudd] is sensitive to this whole message that he is in the pocket of Beijing?" (*The Australian*, 1/4/09, p.13). Chinese Ambassador Zhang Junsai tells us that we should not fear Chinese investment, even if it is controlled by the communist government in Beijing (*The Australian*, 2/4/09, p.2).

According to Zhang Junsai, "Chinese companies investing in Australia, state owned or private, do not seek to control Australia's energy or mineral resources." This is just false, by definition. It is a logical consequence of owning these resources that control comes: that's just the nature of property rights in a capitalist society. It amounts to communist propaganda to deny this. What Zhang Junsai is proposing is that China, with its history of hegemony and imperialism throughout Asia and with its monstrous human rights abuses, especially its brutalisation of Tibet, is really just a pussy cat, not a tiger. Yet this must be what our Asianist elites in Australia believe. China's sins, if performed by a 'white' nation, would produce an apartheid South African-style protest frenzy. But China can seemingly do what it likes without criticism and indeed with constant admiration. This is not reason, this is pathology.

**Civil War II:** In a very interesting article, "Chaos, Confusion and Civil War" (*Global Analysis Archive*, 13/3/09) Jeffrey R. Nyquist puts the case that the U.S. is heading towards collapse due to "ethnic balkanization, an ideological split in the ruling class, rising indebtedness, economic collapse and declining moral standards." Nyquist quotes a 29/12/2008 *Wall Street Journal* article where former KGB officer Igor Panarin, now Dean of the Russian Foreign Ministry's Diplomatic Academy, predicted that the United States would be under martial law by the end of 2009 and may break up by 2011. This would enable China and Russia to dominate the world. There would be a new Communist new world order. And it could happen very quickly.

Civil war in the United States could see foreign powers moving in to carve up America. Russia would claim Alaska. As Panarin says, "It would be reasonable for Russia to lay claim to Alaska. It was part of the Russian empire for a long time." China would move in to take the western coast of America and the south would be overwhelmed by massive Mexican immigration and become a part of Mexico.

It is not only the economic and ethno-racial crisis which is pushing the U.S. towards implosion: there could be a constitutional crisis ahead insofar as there is a growing movement contesting whether Barrack Hussein Obama is really the U.S. president at all. According to Nyquist, Obama has fended off dozens of lawsuits challenging his citizenship status as a natural born citizen and he has failed to produce a birth certificate proving this. It has been claimed that Obama's Kenyan relations claim that he was born in Nairobi and his mother was way too young to transmit U.S. citizenship.

If momentum at the grassroots grows on this issue and Obama starts to fall out with the U.S. financial puppet-masters, the citizenship issue and legitimacy of the president could be the fault line upon which America cracks open.

**AUSTRALIA IS DEFENCELESS: WHY?** by James Reed: Recent revelations are that most of the Australian Defence Forces' weapons including fighter jets, warships and other military equipment cannot be deployed because upgrades are needed or replacements are still being waited on. Hence the equipment is only good for training purposes (*The Australian*, 31/3/09, pp.1, 11).

This insane, traitorous situation has occurred even though the ADF receives \$22 billion every year. Apparently the ADF is focusing on 'future defence' rather than being concerned with having a fully functional defence for today. Thus, according to one analyst, Australia has "little or no ability to successfully fire a weapon at a modern submarine". The army's Blackhawk helicopters can't be used as they lack special electronic warfare self-protection. Let's put it in a nutshell. Since the end of the World War II, Australia has been preoccupied with immigration and not real defence.

Labor immigration minister Arthur Calwell pushed the idea of 'populate or perish' as a defence against Asia. This was done at a time when humanity had invented nuclear weapons! Calwell promoted the idea that defence was people rather than advanced technology, an idea which should have been publicly crushed at the time.

If Australia was really serious about its defence, it should have acquired nuclear weapons instead of breaking up the ethnic homogeneity of the Anglo-country through beginning the process of large-scale non-Anglo migration. But the ruling class wanted to increase the population, not for defence, but to make sharks in the housing industry rich by having people spend a lifetime of wages just to get a house.

And that idea of Calwell's soon morphed into multiculturalism and later Asianisation. Thus the alleged reason for Calwell's 'populate or perish' has now been lost. We are being Asianised now and according to our elites are a part (what part?) of Asia, or more accurately, China.

**Join up the dots:** The concluding picture is one of our complete annihilation as a people in the not too distant future. I respectfully suggest that these questions and how we can oppose this fate, should be our principal question over economic issues which dominate our concerns. Economies come and go and in time can be rebuilt. **But once a people ceases to be, it is forever.**

**IN DEFENCE OF 'PREJUDICE' 'BIGOTRY' AND 'RACISM'** by James Reed: Racial Self Defence Mechanisms: In this age of Obamaism, of political correctness and anti-white racism hiding under a veneer of sugary cosmopolitan moral sentiments, I believe that it is important to defend 'prejudice', 'bigotry' and 'racism'. The reason is simple: what counts today as 'prejudice', 'bigotry' and 'racism' is an absurdly politically directed expansion of those terms. Express any opposition to immigration, multiculturalism and any of the warm fuzzy ideologies of globalism and you are beyond the pale. Yes, just as people fear spiders suffer from arachnophobia, those who oppose African refugees are said to suffer from 'xenophobia' and perhaps those who do not see President Obama as clean and fresh, suffer from Obamaphobia.

Nick Haslam, Professor of Psychology at the University of Melbourne ("Bigots are Just Sick at Heart", *The Australian*, 17/12/08, p.35) argues that psychiatry does not recognise the politically correct 'phobias' for five good reasons. Phobias and prejudices afflict people who have personalities

which are anxious and fear-prone and is more common among women than men. Anti-gay and anti-immigrant attitudes are more common in men than women and is common in personalities which are 'cold and conventional' (conservative). Prejudices are closely linked to 'social locations', true phobias are not. Prejudice and bigotry are not coloured by fear but by emotions of 'anger, contempt or disgust'. "Racism" is coloured by "complex moral emotions", where the migrant, gay, etc. is seen as a threat to accepted values.

According to Haslam: "Prejudice is associated with a belief in traditional authority, an ideological preference for social hierarchy, a commitment to blood and soil nationalism and a conviction that the disliked group is different in its essential nature or world view. In short, prejudice is wrapped up in a socialised thinking as much as in raw feeling."

Theodore Dalrymple in his "In Praise of Prejudice: The Necessity of Preconceived Ideas" (Encounter Books, New York, 2007) gives a spirited philosophical defence of prejudice, defined as the title says, that preconceived ideas are necessary if we are to have any knowledge of science at all. The person without prejudices, one terrified to be thought to be a bigot, must subject all of his/her beliefs to searching sceptical doubt as did the French philosopher Descartes who sought a certain position to found knowledge: "Every proper man then is a Descartes on every subject and every question that comes before him. In other words, he seeks that dubitable Cartesian point from which and from which only, it is possible to erect a reasonable opinion – that is to say an opinion that is truly his own and owes nothing to unexamined pre-suppositions [i.e. prejudices]."

The answer to every question therefore has to be founded on first principles that are beyond doubt or else it is shot through with prejudice." The price then of cosmopolitan purity is scepticism – knowing nothing at all.

'Racism' understood not as blind hatred but in the old sense of the recognition of 'racial antagonisms' was once part of received wisdom and informed the White Australia policy. The late, great Australian philosopher David Stove in his essay 'Racial and Other Antagonisms' ("Cricket Versus Republicanism" Quakers Hill Press, Sydney, 1995), argued that racial antagonisms are generally based on true and rational beliefs about the other race (p.95). Everyone, he says, knows that 'racism' is true (p.102).

Stove went on to reflect on the remarks a Sydney radio journalist who wanted (and probably still does) open borders. There is no reason for stopping (Third World) people coming to Australia. Stove says. "Well, doesn't the same argument apply to her house?" He concludes: "Such remarks...on the subject of immigration are historical phenomena which are so bizarre that they suggest a nation afflicted with suicidal mania, death-by-immigration being the method adopted. It is clearly the most atrocious 'racism' on our part to admit only a measly hundred thousand migrants a year and to murmur against a single Japanese city being built here [i.e. the Multifunction Polis]. Why don't we have a hundred thousand migrants every month and a Japanese City every seventy miles?" (p.104). Even that would not satisfy the great liberal death wish.

'Prejudice', 'bigotry' and 'racism' are racial self-defence mechanisms, healthy and of survival value. These attitudes are necessary for saving Western civilisation and we will have more to say on this in coming essays.

---

"ON TARGET" is printed and published by The Australian League of Rights, 145 Russell St., Melbourne.  
Postal Address: GPO Box 1052, Melbourne, 3001. Telephone: (03) 9650 9749, Fax: (03) 9650 9368.  
Subscription \$45.00 p.a.